

Distribution and habitat of the Eurasian Treecreeper (*Certhia familiaris*) in Corsica

Jean-Claude Thibault*, Fabrice Torre, Ludovic Lepori, Christophe Panaïotis,
Jean-Marc Pons, Jean-François Seguin & Alice Cibois

J.-C. Thibault, J.-M. Pons, Institut Systématique, Evolution, Biodiversité (ISYEB, UMR 7205), Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, EPHE, 57 rue Cuvier, CP50, F-75005 Paris, France

F. Torre, Direction Régionale de l'Environnement, de l'Aménagement et du Logement Corse, Centre administratif Paglia Orba, Lieu dit la croix d'Alexandre, Route d'Alata, F-20090 Ajaccio, France

L. Lepori, Conservatoire d'Espaces Naturels Corse, Maison Andreani, 871 avenue de Borgo 20290 Borgo, France

C. Panaïotis, Office de l'Environnement de la Corse, 14 Avenue Jean Nicoli, 20 250 Corte, France

J.-F. Seguin, Syndicat mixte du Parc naturel régional de Corse, Maison des services publics, 34 Cours Paoli, F-20250 Corte, France

A. Cibois, Natural History Museum of Geneva, CP 6434, CH 1211 Geneva, Switzerland

**Corresponding author: jnclthibault@aol.com*

Received 12 February 2022, accepted 16 November 2022

The Eurasian Treecreeper is a forest bird distributed from South-Western Europe up to Northern Asia. Two phylogenetic groups have been recently identified within this species, one restricted to Corsica Island (Mediterranean) and the Caucasus region, the other distributed over most of Eurasia and in Northern Asia. Little is known on the natural history of the Corsican population. We present here new comprehensive data on its distribution and habitat. The Eurasian Treecreeper is found from sea level to the upper limit of the forest but absent from the treeless macchia, a dominant vegetation in Corsica. Breeding occurs in a variety of tree species with a strong preference for mature stands and large trees. Its preferred habitat consists of old stands of Corsican Pines and of Sweet Chestnuts, although they are not the commonest tree species in Corsica. The current decline of Sweet Chestnut orchards confers a particular importance to the future preservation of mature stands of Corsican Pine, a patrimonial habitat of great value hosting several endemic bird taxa.



1. Introduction

The Eurasian Treecreeper (*Certhia familiaris*) is a forest bird patchily distributed in the Palearctic, relatively common from the British Isles to Northern China and Japan, through Central Europe (Keller *et al.* 2020). The species comprises two phylogenetic lineages that diverged during the mid-Pleistocene (*ca.* 1 Myr): a paleo-endemic group with an allopatric range nowadays restricted to Corsica and the Caucasus region, and a more widespread lineage, distributed over most of Eurasia and in Northern China (Pons *et al.* 2015). The Corsican population, belonging to the subspecies *C. f. corsa*, differs from other populations in morphology (Pons *et al.* 2019) and voice (Chappuis 1976, Tietze *et al.* 2008). It is nearly completely isolated, genetic introgression with Italian or French populations being very rare (Pons *et al.* 2019). Thus, this endemic population represents an important conservation unit, but current knowledge on its natural history is scarce, restricted to a few data on distribution, ecology (Thibault & Bonaccorsi 1999), and density (Arrizabalaga *et al.* 2002). In the Red-list of birds of Corsica, the Eurasian Treecreeper is considered as “Near Threatened”, with a “major priority of conservation” due to its endemism (Linossier *et al.* 2017). It is the only representative of the *Certhia* genera on the island, whereas in many other European regions the Eurasian Treecreeper is syntopic with the Short-toed treecreeper (*C. brachydactyla*), both species interacting ecologically (Clouet & Gerard 2020).

On the continent, the Eurasian Treecreeper is a good indicator of the forest maturity (Suorsa *et al.* 2005), breeding densities being three times higher in old-grown forests than in managed forests (Virkkala *et al.* 1994). Moreover, a physiological study suggested that poor food supply to chicks reared in dense young forests, compared to scarce old forests richer in invertebrates, may decrease the body condition and survival of nestlings (Suorsa *et al.* 2003). Ancientness and maturity are two major qualities of forest ecosystems (Cateau *et al.* 2015). Among the major Mediterranean islands, Corsica (8,722 km²) still shelters forests (Quézel & Médail 2003), among which ancient forests cover less than 80,000 ha, including 15,000 ha of mature

forest (Panaïotis *et al.* 2017, Torre 2014). Like most of the Mediterranean region, the largest part of the Corsican forests is composed of a mosaic of tree species, shaped by a long history of human activities. The most emblematic tree of the island, although covering only 17% of the total forested area, is the Corsican Pine (see Table 3 for scientific name of trees), an endemic subspecies of the Black Pine, also distributed in Southern Italy and Sicily (Farjon & Filer 2013). The Corsican populations diverged from the Italian group about 100,000 years ago and persisted *in situ* during the Last glacial Maximum (Afzal-Rafii & Dodd 2007). Pollen and charcoal studies showed that its range, although restricted to inland today, used to cover the whole island of Corsica in the past (Reille 1977; Thimon 1998). The Corsican Pine is recorded from sea level up to 1,969 meters (IFN 2003), but forests are distributed from Meso-Mediterranean vegetation zone (near rivers) up to Montane vegetation zone (Gauberville *et al.* 2019). Logging affected its range since the Neolithic period (Mazet *et al.* 2016) towards recent times (Bourcet 1996, Pia Rota & Cancellieri 2001), although an altitudinal reconquest started since the last century due to the abandonment of summer pastures (ONF 2006, Panaïotis *et al.* 2017). In terms of area, the Holm Oak occupies the first rank in Corsican forests with 46% of the forest cover. Coppices have been long favoured for grazing, pruning, clumping, and logging (for firewood, wood charcoal, or manufacturing) (Carcaillet *et al.* 1997). Another anthropic part of the forest is composed of Sweet Chestnut orchards (6% of the total forest of Corsica cover today) that were cultivated during the 16–19th centuries and then progressively abandoned during the 20th century due to cutting for manufacturing tannin, parasites and diseases that affected chestnut harvests, and fires that destroyed old stands (Pia Rota & Cancellieri 2001, Campocasso 2016). Cluster Pine (16% of the total forest cover today) is a typical fire-propagated Mediterranean species that occupies a large wooded area in Corsica, although old stands remain rare due to frequent fires. Beech (8% of the total forest cover) has a marginal distribution in the Mediterranean region with only two islands occupied (Quézel & Médail 2003, 158). The Cork Oak is a typical Mediterranean species that covers

a large surface in plains (7% of the total forest cover), especially in the South and the East of the island. Traditionally planted with large spacing between trees producing an open forest cover, the Cork Oak forests were managed towards collecting corks and grazing cattle (Riffard *et al.* 2008); this usage also implied that the older trees were cut when cork production decreased, thus maintaining stands artificially younger. Finally, Fir is rarely represented in pure stand in Corsica with less than 1% of the total forest cover.

Thus Corsican forests are characterized by a mosaic of tree species managed by humans at all altitudes and a dominant pine forest at higher elevations. Based on its ecology on the continent, the presence of large trees is probably a consistent factor in the Eurasian Treecreeper habitat selection on the island, but its specific preferences are currently unknown. In this study, we gathered data on its breeding range, altitudinal limits, tree species preferences, and dendrological factors. Our main objective was to define the ecological characteristics of the Eurasian Treecreeper in Corsica. This approach is essential in elaborating and supporting conservation plans for the forests in Corsica that are, like several other forested ecosystems in Mediterranean basin, threatened by modifications of land-use and frequent fires.

2. Methods


The Eurasian Treecreeper (hereafter “the treecreeper”) is a discreet forest bird with few vocalizations and a short singing period that can pass easily unnoticed (Chappuis 1994). This study was conducted in Corsica (42°N, 9°S) each year from 1st March to 30th June (the core of its breeding season) in 2013–2021, using playback experiments. We observed the behavioural response of individuals to 3-min playback sessions of the nominate subspecies song (Roché 1990). To prevent the arrival of remote birds, the range of the call produced by a 4-watt transmitter was settled to less than 200 metres (this was checked by testing the device in the forest). All observed individuals were recorded, regardless of the distance to the observer. The song of the Corsican taxon differs from that of Western mainland European birds (Chappuis 1976, Tietze

et al. 2008), but males most often strongly reacted to the playback by showing various behavioural responses (alarm, song, silent with active display). Based on its ecological preferences elsewhere in Europe (Harrap & Quinn 1996), we avoided areas without vegetation and those covers by macchia (*i.e.*, a large part of Corsica) and collected information on 890 forested sites. Responses to playback (display or vocalisation) were treated as a territorial behaviour. However, when contacted only once during at least two visits in very small grove or coppice, birds were considered as erratic. Records of treecreepers outside the forests were obtained from the literature, websites, and our own fieldwork: these data were treated as “erratic”. Several previous records from ornithological literature and the web, in which the identification at the species level was not confirmed (Eurasian vs. Short-toed treecreeper a very rare visitor in Corsica), were not included in the analysis. On each location, we defined a circular plot of 20-m radius and recorded, in addition to the presence or absence of treecreepers, the geographical coordinates and elevation, the tree species (dominant and secondary), the minimum and maximum percentage of crown vegetation cover following the methods described in Prodon (1988), the height of the highest tree and its DBH (diameter at breast height).

In this study, we restricted the term “forest” to wooded stands larger than 15 ha, whereas “grove” corresponds to wooded stand smaller than 15 ha, and “coppice” to young stands that regrow after cutting, management (*e.g.*, clump), or colonize ancient cultures and orchards. Forest categories followed the nomenclature of the Institut Forestier National (IFN 2003): “forest” corresponds to stand dominated by a single tree species, with a cover superior to 40%; “open forest” corresponds to vegetation cover between 10 and 40%; “mixed forest” defines an assemblage of conifer and broad-leaved trees. We counted treecreeper territories in each of the seven most common tree species based on the IFN maps from 2016–2017 (IFN 2016–2017). However, discrepancies were sometimes found during fieldwork between the IFN maps and the observed dominant tree species (12.6% of the plots): in these cases our data were preferred over the data of IFN’s. The

mapping was conducted using the software QGIS 3.16 (QGIS Development Team 2016). Statistical analyses were performed using the free software BioStaTGV (<https://biostatgv.sentiweb.fr/?module=tests>) to compare the number of treecreeper territories between forest range areas (χ^2). Boxplots were drawn with the package ggplot2 (Wickham 2009) in R (R Core Team 2020) to show the altitudinal limits of the most common trees in occupied territories and the importance of each dendrometric variable according to the most common tree species. We used the package FactoMineR (Lê *et al.* 2008) to 1) perform a Principal Component Analysis in order to identify the variables most influencing the presence/absence of the treecreeper in the Corsican forests, and 2) produce logistic regressions that best explain the presence/absence variable over ecological (elevation) and

dendrological quantitative characteristics, tested as independent factors. The normal distribution of values was evaluated by Shapiro-Wilk tests ($p < 0.05$) and the homoscedasticity by Levene tests ($p > 0.05$). The independence of variables was studied with Spearman's rank correlation coefficient: this analysis resulted in keeping only a selection of independent quantitative variables (see results). The logistic regression analysis was conducted using the glm R function, with no prior weight and using the default options. It was first conducted for all tree species, then conducted on the six common tree species for which the retained quantitative variables followed a normal distribution. We kept the most parsimonious models according to the AIC criteria (Akaike information criterion) (Burnham & Anderson 2002).



3. Results

3.1. Breeding range and dispersal

Over the 8 years of fieldwork, we mapped 517 sites occupied by territorial males or pairs, representing 60% of 890 sampled forested sites (Fig. 1a). Treecreeper territories range over a vast area covering most of the island, especially inland, avoiding the highest mountains (without forests) and the littoral (Fig. 1b), where treecreepers only occupy to a few scattered territories. These littoral regions also represent suboptimal habitats where erratic birds were found (Fig. 1a), composed of Cork Oaks with an open forested cover of Holm Oak coppices colonizing former orchards. The other sites occupied by erratic treecreepers corresponded to inland small forest patches, suitable in terms of habitat, but too restricted in area (less than 10 ha) to host a territory. The admixture of presence and absence data over inland area highlights the mosaic of vegetation found in Corsica, where groves and forests are patchily included within a vast zone of macchia.

3.2. Habitat preferences: forest categories

The treecreeper occupied all forested categories described by IFN (2016–2017), with nearly equal balance between conifers and broad-leaved trees in absolute number (Table 1), but not in relative numbers. Indeed, the ratio of Treecreeper sites compared to the total number of plots was significantly higher for conifers (73%), mostly Corsican Pine, than for broad-leaved trees (45%) ($\text{Chi}^2_1 = 52.72$, $p < 0.001$). The sampled treecreeper territories superimposed on a map describing the main categories of forested areas are shown in Figure 2. They were especially well-distributed in conifer forests along the mountainous central chain, and in broad-leaved forests in Northeast of the island. Conversely, they were absent from the broad-leaved forest (mainly Cork Oaks stands) in the Southeast region where visits by erratic birds were nevertheless observed.

Among the four categories of vegetation assemblage (forest, mixed forest, coppice and open forest), the treecreeper was significantly more often found in the first one ($\text{Chi}^2_3 = 44.17$,

$p < 0.001$, Table 2). Frequent occurrence of treecreepers in coppices (37%) was unexpected, but our field surveys show that these territories often include plots of large trees favourable to treecreepers.

3.3. Habitat preferences: tree species

A total of 14 tree species have been identified in all sampled treecreeper territories when the stand was monospecific, and 16 when a secondary tree species was present. However only seven tree species were well-represented in treecreeper territories: Corsican and Cluster Pines, Sweet Chestnut, Holm and Cork Oaks, Beech, and Fir.




Fig. 2. Treecreeper territories on the vegetation map of Corsica (IFN 2003): conifer forests and coppices (mauve), broad-leaved forests and coppices (yellow), Holm Oak coppice (green). See Fig. 1 for reference location.

Table 1. Presence-absence of the treecreeper in the different plots presented in the IFN (Institut Forestier National) map.

IFN categories	Present	Absent	Total
Corsican Pine forest	101	28	129
Young forest of Corsican Pines	2	1	3
Cluster Pine forest	31	22	53
Pines forest	10	2	12
Mixed forest (majority of conifers)	5	3	8
Open mountain pine forest	15	4	19
Mixed conifer forest and coppice	19	3	22
Macchia with Cluster Pine	2	5	7
Macchia with pines	1	0	1
Conifers total	186	68	254
Sweet Chestnut forest	31	25	56
Holm Oak forest	5	0	5
Cork Oak forest	6	17	23
Broad-leaved forest	6	3	9
Beech forest	19	7	26
Mixed forest (majority of broad-leaved)	7	7	14
Open mountain broad-leaved forest	4	2	6
Mixed Holm Oak and coppice	26	18	44
Mixed Cork Oak and coppice	5	16	21
Mixed broad-leaved	34	43	77
Mixed pine forest and coppice (broad-leaved)	6	15	21
Coppice of Sweet Chestnut	1	0	1
Coppice of Holm Oak	15	32	47
Coppice of broad-leaved	7	16	23
Coppice of Beech	7	3	10
Macchia with Sweet Chestnut	3	13	16
Macchia with Holm Oak	9	10	19
Macchia with Cork Oak	4	9	13
Macchia with broad-leaved	4	9	13
Broad-leaved total	199	245	444

IFN categories	Present	Absent	Total	% present
Forest (total)	238	119	357	66.7
Mixed forest and coppice	90	95	185	48.6
Coppice	30	51	81	37
Open forest	27	48	75	36

Table 2. Presence-absence of the treecreeper in the different plots of vegetation assemblage defined by IFN (Institut Forestier National).

The Corsican Pine was present in *ca.* half of the territories, although its contribution decreased when including territories where this species is associated with a secondary tree species, while in the same situation the contribution of the Sweet Chestnut increases (Table 3).

Occurrence frequency of each tree species in the treecreeper territories according to the surface area of the main tree species in overall Corsican forests (open and mixed forest excluded) are presented in Table 4. Differences are highly significant ($\text{Chi}^2_6=65.07$, $p<0.001$, range areas of forests converted in log): Holm, Cork Oak, and Cluster Pine are under-represented, compared to Corsican Pine and Sweet Chestnut.

3.4. Habitat preferences: altitudinal distribution

Treecreeper territories were recorded from 12 m to 1,830 m above sea level (mean 960 ± 345 m, median 974 m); 86% were located at medium elevations, between 501 m and 1,500 m (Table 5). The most frequent tree species on the territories corresponded to three categories: (1) Cork Oak at low elevation (below 500 m), (2) Sweet Chestnut, Cluster Pine, and Holm Oak at medium elevation (500–1,000 m), and (3) Beech, Corsican Pine, and Fir at high elevation (above 1,000 m) (Fig. 3). Rarer tree species on the territories were distributed at all elevation: Common Alder (12–628 m, $n=3$), Poplar (120 m, $n=1$), European Hop-Hornbeam (176–920 m, $n=2$), Italian Alder (505–791 m, $n=3$), Ash (796 m, $n=1$), Deciduous Oaks (375–1,098 m, $n=9$, median=814 m), and Birch (1,346 m, $n=1$).

3.5. Habitat preferences: dendrometry

The vegetation cover varied between species, the extreme being observed for the Corsican Pine for which the minimum vegetation cover showed a large variation (Fig. 4a). The maximum vegetation cover was higher in the broad-leaved species compared

to the conifers (Fig. 4b). The diameter was quite large for all species, with a mean of 0.48 meters (Fig. 4c). The values for Sweet Chestnut which tend towards trees larger than 1m in diameter can be explained by the old age of the orchards in Corsica. Finally, height was higher for conifers than for broad-leaved trees (Fig. 4d).

3.6. Models of habitat quality

Principal Component Analysis showed that within the variables influencing the presence/absence of treecreeper in the Corsican forests, height and elevation were correlated as well as the two variables describing the vegetation cover (Fig. 5) Thus, only three variables were kept in the logistic regression analysis: diameter, height, and maximum vegetation cover. Table 6 presents the best selected models of the logistic regression for each of the most common tree species (Beech, Sweet Chestnut, Cluster and Corsican Pines, Cork and Holm Oaks) and for all tree species together. Selected models underline the significant importance of diameter (excepted for the Cork Oak), height (excepted for the pines), and vegetation cover max. (excepted for the Beech) in explaining the presence of treecreepers (Table 7).




Fig. 3. Elevation of treecreeper territories in the seven main tree species.

Table 3. Distribution of tree species in the treekeeper territories (when pure [upper line] and when associated to a secondary tree [bottom lines]). Number in each cell indicates the number of sampled territories. Percentage on the third line indicates the ratio of tree species when "pure", and % on the last line indicates the ratio when these trees are associated with others. Explanation of the acronyms used on the upper line is given in the first column.

	As	Be	Bi	CP	CA	CO	CoP	DO	EHH	Fi	HO	IA	Po	SC	total	
Total pure	0	30	0	29	2	5	185	5	0	4	37	0		45	342	
%		8.8		8.5	0.6	1.5	54.1	1.5		1.2	10.8			13.2	100	
	associated with:															
	Ash (As)	<i>Fraxinus ornus</i> L.		1						2	5				4	
	Beech (Be)	<i>Fagus sylvatica</i> L.					9									
	Birch (Bi)	<i>Betula pendula</i> Roth					5									
	Cluster Pine (CP)	<i>Pinus pinaster</i> Alton					16			4				7		
	Common Alder (CA)	<i>Alnus glutinosa</i> L.					1							5		
	Cork Oak (CO)	<i>Quercus suber</i> L.								4						
	Corsican Pine (CoP)	<i>Pinus nigra</i> subsp. <i>laricio</i> Maire	3	1	2				2	4				7		
	Deciduous Oak (DO)	<i>Quercus</i> spp.					3			5				4		
	Eur. Hop-Hornbeam (EHH)	<i>Ostrya carpinifolia</i> Scop.												3		
	Fir (Fi)	<i>Abies alba</i> Mill.	4				7									
	Holly	<i>Ilex aquifolium</i> L.					1									
	Holm Oak (HO)	<i>Quercus ilex</i> L.		5	1	1	10	1			1	1	1	16		
	Italian Alder (IA)	<i>Alnus cordata</i> Loisel							1					10		
	Poplar (Po)	<i>Populus nigra</i> L.												1		
	Strawberry tree	<i>Arbutus unedo</i> L.		1							2					
	Sweet Chestnut (SC)	<i>Castanea sativa</i> Mill.	1	1	5	1	6	3	1	10	2			102		
Grand total	1	38	1	42	3	8	243	9	2	8	71	3	1	102	532	
%	0.02	7.1	0.02	7.9	0.06	1.5	45.7	1.7	0.04	1.5	13.4	0.06	0.02	19.2	100	

Table 4. Number of treecreeper territories in forests according to the total surface areas covered by the main tree species (from IFN 2016–2017)

	Corsican Pine	Sweet Chestnut	Holm Oak	Cluster Pine	Beech	Fir	Cork Oak
Number of occupied treecreeper territories	243	102	71	42	38	8	8
Range areas in Corsican forests (ha)	29,741	10,183	80,668	28,100	13,928	258	11,643
Mean number of treecreeper territories / 100 ha	0.8	1	0.09	0.14	0.27	3.1	0.07

4. Discussion

4.1. Distribution and ecological characteristics

The data gathered for this study, with more than 500 sites investigated, provided a finer vision of the treecreeper distribution in Corsica than the information compiled in previous breeding bird atlases (Yeatman 1976, Chappuis 1994, Muller 2015). We confirmed that the treecreeper is present in forests from sea level to their upper limits, its range covering a large part of the island, except the treeless macchia, a dominant vegetation in Corsica. However, the median elevation of treecreepers' sites in Corsica was high (974 m), a result that we think is due to the current distribution of mature forests, more frequent in higher altitudes than on the littoral because of anthropogenic factors (Gamisans 2003). Although the treecreeper territories were found in various tree species assemblages, we demonstrated that the bird shows a marked preference for two tree species, the Corsican Pine and the Sweet Chestnut, that are not the commonest ones. This result supports previous observations, although conducted with different fieldwork methodologies, which estimated a density of breeding treecreepers twice higher in Corsican Pines (Arrizabalaga *et al.* 2002) than in Holm Oaks (Blondel *et al.* 1988). However, this preference is very likely explained by the fact that mature stands, developing a deep-seated bark favourable to invertebrates, are mainly found in Corsican Pine and Sweet Chestnut in Corsica. Thus, most of the Holm Oak range has been converted to coppices, unfavourable to the treecreeper, and the number of mature groves is

Table 5. Frequency of treecreeper territories according to the elevation above sea level (in meter)

Elevation	Number of territories (n=532)
12–500	57
>500–1,000	224
>1,000–1,500	233
>1,500	18

very limited today, partly reduced by fires during the last fifty years. Similarly, the Cork Oak stands are too young, with a low vegetation cover, to be ecologically favourable to the treecreeper. In addition, many groves have been abandoned or transformed into subdivisions of private houses. The Beech covers a limited range and mature forests remain uncommon. When considering its vast surface, the Cluster Pine forest seems relatively under-occupied by the treecreeper. This can be explained by the great vulnerability of Pine forests to fires generating an over-representation of young stands. Lastly, other tree species woodlands like Fir or Birch, pioneering species growing in Corsican Pine cuttings, are not favourable to treecreepers probably because of their small surface areas. Similarly, the Common Alder, a species favourable to the treecreeper in marshy localities of the Caucasus (Harrap & Quinn 1996), had been over-exploited in Corsica and still declines today due to diseases and fires.

Forest habitat requirements of the treecreeper in Corsica are similar to those of mainland populations elsewhere in temperate continental Europe: a high rate of the vegetation cover with




Fig. 4. Dendrometric variables for the seven main trees species in treecreeper territories: (A) minimum vegetation cover, (B) maximum vegetation cover, (C) tree diameter, and (D) tree height.




Fig. 5. F1-F2 Plane Factor Correlation Circle of the Principal Component Analysis. The active variables, corresponding to the description of the forest, are indicated in red, and the supplementary variable, that corresponds to the treecreeper's status (presence/absence) is indicated in blue.

Table 6. Selection of the best logistic regression models for all tree species together, and for each of the six commonest tree species; Fir was excluded of this analysis because of its small sample size.

Best logistic regression models	Variables	Number of variables	Deviance	Degree of freedom
Tree species all together	diameter, height, vegetation cover max.	3	1133.6	831
Sweet Chestnut	diameter, height, vegetation cover max.	3	251.7	182
Cork Oak	diameter, vegetation cover max.	2	37.5	40
Holm Oak	diameter, height, vegetation cover max.	3	232.5	177
Beech	diameter, vegetation cover max.	2	61.09	49
Corsican Pine	diameter, vegetation cover max.	2	209.9	250
Cluster Pine	diameter, vegetation cover max.	2	98.1	70

tall and large trees (*i.e.*, with a mean diameter superior to 40 cm) (Laurent 1987, Maumary *et al.* 2007). However, Martin (1982, 410) founded that in Corsica, comparatively to mainland, the treecreeper occupies a wider array of habitats ranging from forests to coppices (but see our comment for Table 2). Interestingly, in the British and Irish Isles where the Short-toed treecreeper is absent, the Eurasian Treecreeper breeding populations are found in a wide range of habitats including lesser dense stands, parklands, gardens and even farmlands with well-treed hedgerows (Cramp & Perrins 1993, du Feu 2002). Thus, the absence of the Short-toed treecreeper in Corsica did not lead to comparable niche expansion in the Eurasian Treecreeper, maybe in conjunction with the different origin of the lineages: recently divergent from the continent in British and Irish Isles *versus* a paleo-endemic in Corsica (Pons *et al.* 2015). The large altitudinal amplitude of the treecreeper territories recorded in Corsica is also noted elsewhere in Europe (Hagemeyer & Blair 1997, Keller *et al.* 2020), like for instance in France where the species is recorded in small numbers from the plains in Normandy, near the sea on the Riviera, and to the upper forest limit in the Alps and the Pyrenees (Muller 2015). A review of the habitats occupied across the geographical range of the treecreeper (Harrap & Quinn 1996) underlines the great adaptability of this species for which behaviour and social habits may be as important as ecological requirements and available habitat types in habitat choice.

4.2. Future changes in the Corsican forests

A significant reduction of mature stands, estimated at least to 43%, occurred in Corsica since the mid-19th century (Panaiotis *et al.* 2017). Such an important reduction was due to several factors, mainly anthropogenic: fires for pasture, logging for industrial combustible (Fontana 2004), for firewood or for the construction of railway ties (see <https://youtu.be/ns.JoJS1-7Jw> for Fium'Orbo), and important cutting in several public forests during 1970-90 period (*e.g.*, see Cerutti 1976 for Valdoniello forest). Conversely, natural regrowth was estimated to 25,000 ha per decade (Panaiotis *et al.* 2017), thanks to abandoned pastures recolonized by Corsican Pines in the mountains, gardens and terrace cultivations replaced by oaks and broad-leaved trees, and to the aging of Holm Oaks previously exploited for wood charcoal. These new forested areas will however not be suitable for treecreepers before at least several decades (Panaiotis *et al.* 1997), although the Holm Oak annual grown rate ($\text{m}^3 \text{ha}^{-1}$) can be especially high in Corsica (Bonin & Romane 1996), and might accelerate recolonization, especially in macchia which constitutes a step towards the formation of a forest. On the other hand, the abandonment of cultivated Sweet Chestnut groves reaching today a range of only ca. 1,300 ha (de Casabianca 2016) implying the death of the old trees and their natural replacement by others tree species, broad-leaved or conifers, would lead to the increase of unsuitable habitats for the treecreeper. Our field observations in the Cap Corse (North Corsica) illustrate well this situation, with a few treecreeper

Table 7. Parameters of the different selected models.

Parameters	Estimation	Std	z-value	Pr(> z)	Sig.
"Tree species all together" model					
intercept	-7.639114	0.564713	-13.527	<0.001	***
diameter	1.887201	0.256453	7.359	<0.001	***
height	0.262479	0.021905	11.983	<0.001	***
vegetation cover max.	0.047304	0.005145	9.194	<0.001	***
"Sweet Chestnut" model					
intercept	-7.7289	1.44166	-5.361	<0.001	***
diameter	1.39761	0.48224	2.898	<0.01	**
Height	0.24835	0.07209	3.445	<0.001	***
vegetation cover max.	0.05983	0.0108	5.54	<0.001	***
"Cork Oak" model					
intercept	-9.30897	3.4038	-2.735	<0.01	**
diameter	4.21003	2.31434	1.819	0.068	ns
vegetation cover max.	0.13593	0.05327	2.552	<0.05	*
"Holm Oak" model					
intercept	-10.13607	1.68221	-6.025	<0.001	***
diameter	9.59439	1.74827	5.488	<0.001	***
Height	0.21402	0.0797	2.685	<0.01	**
vegetation cover max.	0.04898	0.0145	3.377	<0.001	***
"Beech" model					
intercept	-7.05211	2.5481	-2.768	<0.01	**
diameter	11.38164	3.39641	3.351	<0.001	***
vegetation cover max.	0.05522	0.03093	1.786	0.074	ns
"Corsican Pine" model					
intercept	-8.17944	1.54965	-5.278	<0.001	***
diameter	14.51936	2.31462	6.273	<0.001	***
vegetation cover max.	0.09086	0.02176	4.176	<0.001	***
"Cluster Pine" model					
intercept	-8.23425	1.93935	-4.246	<0.001	***
diameter	10.05891	2.53804	3.963	<0.001	***
vegetation cover max.	0.09836	0.02765	3.557	<0.001	***

breeding territories found in small Sweet Chestnut groves [a recent colonization according to Marzocchi (2018)], whereas in the former gardens recolonized recently by broad-leaved trees, the observed treecreepers were only erratic (Fig. 6).

Forest fragmentation poses a major threat to animal and plant species (Rogan & Lacher

2018), and several major negative consequences have been identified in Europe for treecreepers (*Certhia* spp.): increase of nest predation (Huhta *et al.* 2004) and decline in abundance (Basile *et al.* 2016). In Corsica, treecreeper number may locally increase in the future, if the global forest area continues to grow, and most importantly,




Fig. 6. Forests of the Cap Corse (map redrawn from Panaiotis *et al.* 2017). Most of these patches, composed of coppice (in green) and a few very small Sweet Chestnut groves (in brown), are unsuitable for the treecreeper. Territories are marked by red dots, erratic birds by blue dots. Black dots indicate sites where playback was negative.

to mature, at least in areas where seeds and soil are still present. But, conversely the decline of the Corsican Pine forest, the main mountain habitat for treecreepers today, is a major concern knowing that recurrent fires and logging, lead to a significant loss of habitats. This also holds for the endemic and threatened Corsican nuthatch (*Sitta whiteheadi*), for which the Corsican Pine forest represents the unique suitable habitat (Thibault *et al.* 2006). Thus, its strict protection constitutes a conservation priority in Corsica where this tree species is currently more at risk to anthropogenic activities than to climate change owing to its wide ecological range (Barbet-Massin & Jiguet 2011).

Utbredning och habitat för den Trädkryparen på Korsika

Trädkryparen (*Certhia familiaris*) är en skogsfågel med utbredning från sydvästra Europa till Nordliga delar av Asien. Två fylogenetiska grupper har nyligen identifierats inom arten,

en som hittas på Korsika i Medelhavet och i Kaukasus, och en annan som utbreder sig över största delen av Eurasien och nordliga Asien. Det finns begränsat med information om populationen på Korsika. Här presenterar vi nya omfattande data om dess utbredning och habitat. Trädkryparen hittas från havsnivån till den övre trädgränsen men saknas i det trädlösa macchia området, som dominerar vegetationen på Korsika. Häckningar förekommer i varierande trädarter med en stark preferens för äldre bestånd av stora träd, såsom svarttall och äkta kastanj som inte är de vanligaste trädslagen på Korsika. Det minskande antalet trädgårdar med äkta kastanj innebär att bevarandet av mogna svarttallbestånd blir allt viktigare för trädkryparen och andra endemiska arter.

Acknowledgments. We thank Martin Billard, Laurent Chevallier, Samuel Ortion (Faune-France), Gilles Faggio, Isabelle Guyot, Nidal Issa, Cécile Jolin, Philippe Jourde, Nathalie Legrand, Antoine-Marie Pastinelli, Bernard Recorbet, Joseph Piacentini, Antoine Rossi, and Valentin Spampani for providing data. We are very grateful to Msc. Mira Kajanus and an anonymous referee for their useful comments on a first version of the manuscript.

References

- Afzal-Rafii, Z. & Dodd, R.S. 2007: Chloroplast DNA supports a hypothesis of glacial refugia over postglacial recolonization in disjunct populations of black pine (*Pinus nigra*) in western Europe. — *Molecular Ecology* 16: 723–736. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294x.2006.03183.x>
- Arrizabalaga, P., Fournier, P., Prodon, R., Seguin, J. F. & Thibault, J. C. 2002: Breeding birds in the Corsican pine forests of Corsica (in French, with English summary). — *Revue forestière française* 54: 131–142
- Barbet-Massin, M. & Jiguet, F. 2011: Back from a predicted climatic extinction of an island endemic: a future for the Corsican nuthatch. — *Plos One* 6: e18228. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0018228>
- Basile, M., Valerio, F., Balestrieri, R., Posillico, M., Bucci, R., Altea, T., de Cinti, B. & Matteucci, G. 2016: Patchiness of forest landscape can predict species distribution better than abundance: the case of a forest-dwelling passerine, the short-toed treecreeper, in central Italy. — *PeerJ* 4: e2398. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2398>
- Blondel, J., Chessel, D. & Frochot, B. 1988: Bird Species Impoverishment, Niche Expansion, and Density Inflation in Mediterranean Island Habitats. — *Ecology* 69: 1899–1917.
- Bonin, G. & Romane, F. 1996: The history, dynamics and production of oak forest in South-east France (in French

- with English summary). — Forêt méditerranéenne 17: 119–128.
- Bourcet, J. 1996 : Aperçu sur deux siècles d'histoire forestière en Corse (in French). — Revue forestière française 48 : 563–580.
- Burnham, K. P. & Anderson, D. R. 2002: Model selection and multimodel inference. A practical information-Theoretic approach. — Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Campocasso, P.-J. 2016 : Le châtaignier en Corse, de l'exploitation traditionnelle à la production industrielle (XVIIIe-XXe siècle) (in French). — Bulletin Société Sciences Historiques & Naturelles de la Corse 756–757: 47–62.
- Carcaillet, C., Barakat, H.N., Panaïotis, C. & Loisel, R. 1997: Fire and late-Holocene expansion of *Quercus ilex* and *Pinus pinaster* on Corsica. — Journal of Vegetation Science 8: 85–94.
- Cateau, E., Larrieu, L., Vallauri, D., Savoie, J.-M., Touroult, J. & Brustel, H. 2015: Ancientness and maturity: Two complementary qualities of forest ecosystem. — Comptes Rendus Biologies 338: 58–73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crv.2014.10.004>
- Cerutti, F. 1976: Le développement de la production de la forêt corse: l'aménagement de la forêt domaniale de Valduniellu (in French). — Bulletin Société Sciences Historiques & Naturelles de la Corse 618: 83–89.
- Chappuis, C. 1976: Origine et évolution des vocalisations de certains oiseaux de Corse et des Baléares (in French). — Alauda 44: 475–495.
- Chappuis, C. 1994: Grimpereau des bois. — In Nouvel Atlas des oiseaux nicheurs de France (in French) (ed. Yeatman-Berthelot, D. & Jarry, G.): 622–624. Société Ornithologiques de France, Paris.
- Clouet, M. & Gerard, J.-F. 2020: Interactions between sibling species of treecreepers *Certhia familiaris* and *C. brachydactyla* in the Pyrenees and the mistaken identity hypothesis. — Bird Study 67: 385–392. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00063657.2020.1863332>
- Cramp, S. & Perrins, C.M. (ed) 1993: Handbook of the Birds of Europe, the Middle East and North Africa. The Birds of the Western Palearctic. Vol. 7. Flycatchers to shrikes. — Oxford University Press, Oxford & New York.
- de Casabianca, F. 2016: Évolution de la châtaigneraie et de sa production en Corse (in French). — Bulletin Société Sciences Historiques & Naturelles de la Corse 756–757: 173–186.
- Farjon, A. & Filer, D. 2013: An Atlas of the World's Conifers: An Analysis of Their Distribution, Biogeography, Diversity and Conservation Status. — Brill Academic Pub, Leiden.
- Feu, C. du 2002: Eurasian Treecreeper. — In The Migration Atlas - Movements of the Birds of Britain and Ireland (ed. Wernham, C., Toms, M., Marchant, J., Clark, J., Siriwardena, G. & Baillie, S.): 609–611. T & AD Poyser, London.
- Fontana, J.-P. 2004: Histoire de l'utilisation et de l'exploitation des forêts dans le bassin du Fangu (in French). — Bulletin Société Sciences Historiques & Naturelles de la Corse 708–709: 87–178.
- Gamisans, J. 2003: La Végétation de la Corse (in French). — Edisud, Aix-en-Provence.
- Gauberville, C., Panaïotis, C., Bioret, F. & Capelo, J. 2019: Phytosociological and phytoecological survey of Corsican Pine forests (in French with English summary). — ecologia mediterranea 45: 85–103.
- Hagemeijer, E.J.M. & Blair, M.J. 1997: The EBCC Atlas of European Breeding Birds: Their Distribution and Abundance. — T & AD Poyser, London.
- Harrap, S. & Quinn, D. 1996: Tits, nuthatches & treecreepers. Helm Identification Guides. — Christopher Helm, London.
- Huhta, E., Aho, T., Jäntti, A., Suorsa, P., Kuitunen, M., Nikula, A. & Hakkarainen, H. 2004: Forest fragmentation increases nest predation in the Eurasian Treecreeper. — Conservation Biology 18: 148–155.
- IFN 2003: 3rd inventaire forestier de la Corse et cartes associées (in French). Inventaire National Forestier. Maps available at <https://geoservices.ign.fr/bdforet>
- IFN 2016–2017: 4th inventaire forestier de la Corse et cartes associées (in French). Inventaire National Forestier. Maps available at <https://geoservices.ign.fr/bdforet>
- Keller, V., Herrando, S., Voříšek, P., Franch, M., Kipson, M., Milanese, P., Martí, D., Anton, M., Klavaňová, A., Kalyakin, M. V., Bauer, H.-G. & Foppen, R. P. B. 2020: European Breeding Bird Atlas 2: Distribution, Abundance and Change. — European Bird Census Council & Lynx Edicions, Barcelona.
- Laurent, J.-L. 1987: The use of space by two sibling species of passerines, the Tree creeper, *Certhia familiaris* and the Short-toed Tree creeper *C. brachydactyla* (in French with English summary). — Revue Ecologie (Terre Vie) 42: 297–309.
- Lê, S., Josse, J. & Husson, F. 2008: FactoMineR: An R Package for Multivariate Analysis. — Journal of Statistical Software 25: 1–18.
- Linossier J., Faggio G. & Bosc V. 2017: Listes rouges régionales des oiseaux nicheurs, des reptiles et des amphibiens de Corse (in French). — CEN-Corse. Available at https://inpn.mnhn.fr/docs/LR_FCE/LR_regionale/Corse/LRR_oiseaux_nicheurs_amphibiens_reptiles_Corse_2018.pdf
- Martin, J.-L. 1982: Comparison of the bird faunas of two vegetation gradients ranging from open grassland to high forest in southern France and Corsica (in French with English summary). — Revue d'Ecologie (Terre Vie) 36: 397–419.
- Marzocchi, J.-F. 2018: Grimpereau des bois à Canale (San Martinu di Lota) (in French). Available at <http://corseornitho.canalblog.com/archives/2018/02/19/36157599.html>
- Maumary L., Vallotton, L. & Knaus, P. 2007: Die Vögel der Schweiz (in German). — Schweizerische Vogelwarte, Sempach, und Nos Oiseaux, Montmollin.
- Mazet, S., Marini, N.-A., Bontempi J.-M. & Boschian, G. 2016: La néolithisation de la haute montagne corse: l'Abri des Castelli, 2140 m d'altitude (commune de

- Corte, centre-Corse) (in French). — In *Géomorphologie des îles de Méditerranée* (ed. Ghilardi, M.): 73–87. CNRS Éditions, Paris.
- Muller, Y. 2015: Grimpereau des bois – In *Atlas des oiseaux de France métropolitaine. Nidification et présence hivernale*. (ed. Issa, N. & Muller, Y.): 1160–1163 (in French). LPO/SEOF/MNHN. Delachaux et Niestlé, Paris.
- ONF 2006: Contribution à la conduite des peuplements de pin laricio et habitats associés. Tome 2. Enjeux et gestion (in French). — Office National des Forêts, Sarreguemines.
- Panaïotis, C., Barthet, T., Vallauri, D., Hugot, L., Gauberville, C., Reymann, J., O'Deye-Guizien, K. & Delbosc, P. 2017: Corsican Etat-major map (1864–1866). Land use and first analyze of ancient forests (in French with English summary). — *Ecologia Mediterranea* 43: 49–64.
- Panaïotis, C., Carcaillet, C. & M'Hamedi, M. 1997: Determination of the natural mortality age of a holm oak (*Quercus ilex* L.) stand in Corsica (Mediterranean Island). — *Acta Oecologica* 18: 519–530.
- Pia Rota, M. & Cancelleri, J.-A. 2001: De la nature à l'histoire. Les Forêts de la Corse (in French). — Editions Alain Piazzola, Ajaccio.
- Pons, J. M., Cibois, A., Fournier, J., Fuchs, J., Olioso, G. & Thibault, J.-C. 2019: Gene flow and genetic divergence among mainland and insular populations across the South-western range of the Eurasian Treecreeper (*Certhia familiaris*, Aves). — *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 26: 447–461. <https://doi.org/10.1093/biollin/bly200>
- Pons J.-M., Thibault J.-C., Fournier, J., Olioso G., Rakovic M., Tellini G. & Fuchs J. 2015: Genetic variation among Corsican and continental populations of the Eurasian Treecreeper (Aves, *Certhia familiaris*) reveals the existence of a palaeoendemic mitochondrial lineage. — *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 115: 134–153. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bj.12485>
- Prodon, R. 1988: Dynamique des systèmes avifaune-végétation après déprise rurale et incendies dans les Pyrénées méditerranéennes siliceuses (in French). — PhD Thesis, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris.
- QGIS Development Team 2016: Geographic Information System. Version 3.16. Hannover. Open Source Geospatial Foundation. Available at <https://qgis.org>
- Quézel, P. & Médail, F. 2003: *Écologie et biogéographie des forêts du bassin méditerranéen* (in French). — Éditions scientifiques et médicales Elsevier, Paris.
- R Core Team 2020: R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing: Vienna, Austria. Available at <https://www.R-project.org/>
- Reille, M. 1977: Quelques aspects de l'activité humaine en Corse durant le Subatlantique et ses conséquences sur la végétation (in French). — *Bulletin Association Française Etudes Quaternaire* 43: 329–341.
- Riffard, O., Sisco, S., Bernot, Y., Giuliani, J.-C. & Ponter, J. 2008: Guide technique pour la forêt de chêne-liège en Corse (in French). ODARC, Bastia. Available at http://www.odarc.fr/catalog_repository/uploads/18/guide_chene_liege.pdf
- Roché, J.-C. 1990: All European Birds in 4 compact disc. Vol. 4. — Ed. Sittelle, La Mure.
- Rogan, J.E. & Lacher Jr., T.E. 2018: Impacts of Habitat Loss and Fragmentation on Terrestrial Biodiversity. — Reference Module in Earth Systems and Environmental Sciences. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-409548-9.10913-3>
- Suorsa, P., Huhta, U., Jääntti, A., Nikula, A., Helle, H., Kuitunen, M., Koivunen, V. & Hakkarainen, H. 2005: Thresholds in selection of breeding habitat by the Eurasian Treecreeper (*Certhia familiaris*). — *Biological Conservation* 121: 443–452. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2004.05.014>
- Suorsa, P., Huhta, E., Nikula, A., Nikinmaa, M., Jääntti, A., Helle, H. & Hakkarainen, H. 2003: Forest management is associated with physiological stress in an old-growth forest passerine. — *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences* 270: 963–969. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2002.2326>
- Thibault, J.-C., & Bonacorsi, G. 1999: The Birds of Corsica: An Annotated Checklist. — *British Ornithologists' Union Checklist 17*. British Ornithologists' Union and British Ornithologists' Club, Tring.
- Thibault, J.-C., Prodon, R., Villard, P. & Seguin, J. F. 2006: Habitat requirements and foraging behaviour of the Corsican nuthatch *Sitta whiteheadi*. — *Journal of Avian Biology* 37: 477–486. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0908-8857.2006.03645.x>
- Thinon, M. 1998: Étude de l'aire potentielle du pin laricio en Corse: approche pédoanthracologique (in French). — Programme Life de la Commission des Communautés Européennes «Conservation des habitats naturels et des espèces végétales d'intérêt communautaire prioritaire de la Corse». Institut Méditerranéen d'Écologie et de Paléoécologie, Marseille.
- Tietze, D.T., Martens, J., Sun, Y.-H. & Päckert, M. 2008: Evolutionary history of treecreeper vocalisations (Aves: *Certhia*). — *Organisms, Diversity & Evolution* 8: 305–324. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ode.2008.05.001>
- Torre, F. 2014: Contribution à une sylviculture du Pin laricio (*Pinus nigra subsp laricio*, Maire) compatible avec la conservation de la Sittelle corse (*Sitta whiteheadi*, Sharpe 1884) (in French). — *Mémoire Ecole Pratique des Hautes Etudes, Montpellier*. Available at <https://www.academia.edu/64340612>
- Virkkala, R., Rajasärkkä, A., Väisänen, R. A., Vickholm, M. & Virolainen, E. 1994: Conservation value of nature reserves: do hole-nesting birds prefer protected forests in southern Finland. — *Annales Zoologici Fennici* 31: 173–186.
- Wickham, H. 2009: *ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis*. — Springer, New York.
- Yeatman, L. 1976: *Atlas des oiseaux nicheurs de France* (in French). — Société Ornithologique de France, Paris. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-98141-3>